

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/616,446	07/09/2003	Carlos D. Jimenez	14118-42844	9144
26257	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, PA			FIDEI, DAVID	
P.O. BOX 1888 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALDOQUEN	QOE, 14MI 87105		3728	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,446	JIMENEZ	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fidei	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communic	eation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	ress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			السار
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
	o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdrawn from consideration. on and/or election requirement. Examiner.	ov the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any object		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t			R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation. * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been i al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PToB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)
Patent and Trademark Office			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/616,446

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis (Publication No. US 2003/0062280 A1). As to claim 1 a brush cover is disclosed comprising a wrap portion 11, flap portion 12 and means 25 for securing the flap portion in place.

As to claim 2, varying width interfaces are contemplated as shown in figures 2, #16 and figure 3.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nielsen et al (Patent no. 5,791,608). As to claims 1 and 3 a brush cover is disclosed comprising a wrap defined by panels 12, 14, 16, flap portion defined by panel 20 and means 20a, comprised hook and loop components, for securing the flap portion in place.

As to claim 2, varying width interfaces are contemplated as shown in the embodiments of figure 6 for accommodating brushes of different sizes.

As to claim 4, canvas is disclosed in the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,446

Art Unit: 3728

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Nordstrom (Pub. No. US 2003/013945 A1). The difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art of Davis or Nielsen et al resides in a lining attached to the internal surface of the flexible material.

Nordstrom shows that it is known in the paint brush container art, and fairly taught, to construct holders with liners 40. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a liner on the interior surface of the paint brush cover as taught by Nordstrom, in order to help absorb paint.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

6. "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,446

Art Unit: 3728

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (703) 308-1220. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (703) 308-2672.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,446

Art Unit: 3728

David T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf September 30, 2004